REMARKS

The Rejections Under 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 3 and 16-18 were rejected as allegedly anticipated by Reiffenrath.

Claim 1 is cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claim 3 is rewritten in independent form, and claims 16-18 depend from claim 3.

The Office Action alleges that claim 3 is anticipated by Example M27. This is incorrect. Example M27 does not teach a compound of formula IV where at least one of the groups corresponding to applicants' radicals R^{41} and R^{42} is alkenyl. See the proviso in claim 3. Accordingly, there is no anticipation.

Applicant's bring to the attention of the Examiner that it appears that a calculation error occurred when calculating the value of equation 1 to give 8.88. It appears that the $\Delta\varepsilon$ (exp) given in the example was used instead of the $\Delta\varepsilon$ (corr) as defined in equation 1. The $\Delta\varepsilon$ (exp) needs to be converted before use in example 1.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

Csaba Henter, Reg. No. 50,908
John A. Sopp, Reg. No. 33,103
Attorneys for Applicants

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.
Arlington Courthouse Plaza I
2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1400
Arlington, Virginia 22201
Direct Dial: 703-812-5331
Facsimile: 703-243-6410

Filed: August 19,2004

\\HOMER\CLIENTS\MERCK\2758\REPLY AUG 04.DOC